

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/603,418	06/24/2003	Sunichi Sato	2271/53467-A1	9699
23432 7590 06/14/2007 COOPER & DUNHAM, LLP 1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 10036			EXAMINER	
			SCHILLINGER, LAURA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2813	
	,			·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•	•	06/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/603,418	SATO, SUNICHI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Laura M. Schillinger	2813			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - External after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in me may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin iii apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (D. (35 U.S.C. § 133)			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 May 2007</u> .					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 22,38 and 60-69 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 60-69 is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 22 and 38 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Second is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice	et(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 5/2/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claims 60-69 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Applicant's newly added claims are directed to an independent and distinct species from that of the originally elected claims 22 and 38.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 60-69 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohkouchi et al (Proceedings of 12th Symposium on Alloy Semiconductor Physics and Electronics, 1993, pages 337-340: Applicant's Admitted Prior Art described on Col.2, lines: 3-10 in US 5904549).

Art Unit: 2813

In reference to claim 22, Ohkouchi (Applicant's Admitted Prior Art) teaches a method comprising:

Forming an alloy semiconductor by an MOCVD method at a pressure of at least that of convention low pressure MOCVD (Col.2, lines: 5-10), using a nitrogen containing organic compound as a source material for nitrogen, wherein the nitrogen containing organic compound is selected from the group consisting of monomehthylhydrazine, dimethylhydrazine and tertiary butylamine (Col.2, lines: 5-10 (DMHy), and using a source material for As, wherein the III-V alloy semiconductor comprises at least Ga, In, N and As (Col.2, lines: 5-10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ohkouchi et al (Proceedings of 12th Symposium on Alloy Semiconductor Physics and Electronics, 1993, pages 337-340.

In reference to claim 38, Ohkouchi fails to explicitly teach using a horizontal LP MOVPE reactor apparatus as claimed by the Applicant; however such apparatuses are well known in the art of semiconductors and would be considered to be an obvious choice among skilled artisans.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/27/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Ohkouchi fails to teach a III-V alloy containing Ga and N-however this is not persuasive; the GaAsN anticipates this element of Applicant's claim.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2813

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura M. Schillinger whose telephone number is (571) 272-1697. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T, R-F 7:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl W. Whitehead, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

06/08/07

Laura M Schillinger Primary Examiner Art Unit 2813